## Comparative Report

## Youth's Name/ID:

Gender:
Birth Date:

## Joey D

Male
January 02, 1999

|  | Parent | Teacher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Youth's Name/ID: | Joey D | Joey D |
| Administration Date: | Jul 02, 2009 | Jul 03, 2009 |
| Age: | 10 years | 10 years |
| Grade: | 5 | 5 |
| Rater's Name/ID: | Mrs. D | Mr. J |
| Assessor's Name: | Dr. G | Dr. G |
| Data Entered By: | Maria | Maria |

Psychological Assessments Australia
PO Box 27 Jannali NSW 2226
Ph: (02) 95890011 Fax: (02) 95890063
E: infopaa@psychassessments.com.au
www.psychassessments.com.au

## Overview

The Autism Spectrum Rating Scales (6-18 Years) [ASRS (6-18 Years)] is used to quantify observations of a youth that are associated with Autism Spectrum Disorders. When used in combination with other information, results from the ASRS ( $6-18$ Years) can help determine the likelihood that a youth has symptoms associated with Autism Spectrum Disorders, and that information can be used to determine treatment targets. This computerized report combines the results of up to five raters to provide an overview of the youth's behavior from a multi-rater perspective, and highlights potentially important inter-rater differences in scores. Please note that this Comparative Report is intended to provide an overview of similarities and differences in scores between raters. For detailed information about any given administration, please refer to the ASRS Interpretive Reports. This computerized report provides quantitative information about the ratings of the youth. Additional interpretive information can be found in the ASRS Technical Manual. This Comparative Report is intended for use by qualified assessors only.

## T-score Classifications

| T-score | Classification |
| :--- | :--- |
| $70+$ | Very Elevated Score (Many more concerns than are typically reported) |
| $65-69$ | Elevated Score (More concerns than are typically reported) |
| $60-64$ | Slightly Elevated Score (Somewhat more concerns than are typically reported) |
| $40-59$ | Average Score (Typical levels of concern) |
| $<40$ | Low Score (Fewer concerns than are typically reported) |

## T-scores: Comparisons Between Raters


$\square$ Parent $\quad \rightleftarrows$ Teacher


$\square$ Parent $\longrightarrow$ Teacher

## T-scores: Scale-Level Comparisons Between Raters

For all graphs, $\mathrm{P}=$ Parent and $\mathrm{T}=$ Teacher.


Scores were not significantly different between raters.

## Unusual Behaviors



Scores were not significantly different between raters.

## Social/Communication



Scores were not significantly different between raters.

## Self-Regulation



Scores were not significantly different between raters.
DSM-N-TR Scale


[^0]

Scores were not significantly different between raters.
Social/Emotional Reciprocity


Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Adult Socialization


Scores were not significantly different between raters.
Atypical Language


Scores were not significantly different between raters.


Scores were not significantly different between raters.


Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Behavioral Rigidity

$P$ significantly higher than $T$ ratings.

## Attention



Scores were not significantly different between raters.

## Detailed Scores: Comparisons Between Raters

The following table displays T-scores, Confidence Intervals, and Percentile Ranks for each scale, as well as any statistically significant ( $p=.10$, adjusted for multiple comparisons) changes in T-scores between pairs of raters. If a pair of ratings is not noted in the "Significant Differences Between Raters" column, then the difference between those two raters did not reach statistical significance.
Note: $\mathrm{Cl}=$ Confidence Interval, $\mathrm{P}=$ Parent and $\mathrm{T}=$ Teacher.

| Scale |  | P | T | Significant Differences Between Raters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL SCORE |  |  |  |  |
| Total Score | T-score | 73 | 73 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% CI | 70-75 | 70-75 |  |
|  | Percentile | 99 | 99 |  |
| ASRS SCALES |  |  |  |  |
| Social/ Communication | T-score | 77 | 78 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% CI | 72-79 | 73-80 |  |
|  | Percentile | 99 | 99 |  |
| Unusual Behaviors | T-score | 60 | 53 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% CI | 56-63 | 49-57 |  |
|  | Percentile | 84 | 62 |  |
| Self-Regulation | T-score | 70 | 74 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% Cl | 64-73 | 69-76 |  |
|  | Percentile | 98 | 99 |  |
| DSM-IV-TR SCALE |  |  |  |  |
| DSM-IV-TR Scale | T-score | 69 | 68 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% CI | 65-71 | 64-71 |  |
|  | Percentile | 97 | 96 |  |
| TREATMENT SCALES |  |  |  |  |
| Peer Socialization | T-score | 70 | 73 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% CI | 62-73 | 65-75 |  |
|  | Percentile | 98 | 99 |  |
| Adult Socialization | T-score | 58 | 63 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% CI | 49-63 | 54-67 |  |
|  | Percentile | 79 | 90 |  |
| Social/Emotional Reciprocity | T-score | 77 | 76 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% CI | 69-79 | 69-78 |  |
|  | Percentile | 99 | 99 |  |
| Atypical Language | T-score | 52 | 44 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% Cl | 46-58 | 39-51 |  |
|  | Percentile | 58 | 27 |  |
| Stereotypy | T-score | 49 | 54 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% Cl | 43-56 | 46-60 |  |
|  | Percentile | 46 | 66 |  |
| Behavioral Rigidity | T-score | 72 | 48 | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{T}$ |
|  | 90\% CI | 65-75 | 44-53 |  |
|  | Percentile | 99 | 42 |  |
| Sensory Sensitivity | T-score | 44 | 48 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% CI | 39-51 | 42-55 |  |
|  | Percentile | 27 | 42 |  |
| Attention | T-score | 72 | 73 | No significant difference |
|  | 90\% Cl | 65-75 | 67-76 |  |
|  | Percentile | 99 | 99 |  |

## Summary of Significant Differences Between Raters

The following section summarizes the ASRS scores, as well as statistically significant differences between raters' assessments of Joey D.
Note: Elevated score $=$ T-score $\geq 60$; Low/Average score $=$ T-score $<60 ; \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}$-score, $\mathrm{Cl}=$ Confidence Interval.

## Total Score

Ratings on the Total Score scale indicate the extent to which the youth's behavioral characteristics are similar to the behaviors of youth diagnosed with an Autism Spectrum Disorder. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=73 ; 90 \% \mathrm{CI}=70-75$ ), and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=73 ; 90 \% \mathrm{CI}=70-75$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

## ASRS Scales

Ratings on the Social/Communication scale indicate the extent to which the youth uses verbal and nonverbal communication appropriately to initiate, engage in, and maintain social contact. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=77 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=72-79$ ), and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=78 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=73-80$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Ratings on the Unusual Behaviors scale indicate the youth's level of tolerance for changes in routine, engagement in apparently purposeless and stereotypical behaviors, and overreaction to certain sensory experiences. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=60 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=56-63$ ). Low/average scores were obtained for Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=53 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=49-57$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Ratings on the Self-Regulation scale indicate how well the youth manages his behavior and thoughts, maintains focus, and resists distraction. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=70 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=64-73$ ), and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=74 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=69-76$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

## DSM-IV-TR Scale

Ratings on the DSM-IV-TR Scale indicate how closely the youth's symptoms match the DSM-IV-TR criteria for an Autism Spectrum Disorder. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=69 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=65-71$ ), and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=68 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=64-71$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

## Treatment Scales

Ratings on the Peer Socialization scale indicate the youth's willingness and capacity to successfully engage in activities that develop and maintain relationships with other youth. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=70 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=62-73$ ), and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=73 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=65-75$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Ratings on the Adult Socialization scale indicate the youth's willingness and capacity to successfully engage in activities that develop and maintain relationships with adults. Elevated scores were obtained for Teacher ( $T=63 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=54-67$ ). Low/average scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=58 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=49-63$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Ratings on the Social/Emotional Reciprocity scale indicate the youth's ability to provide an appropriate emotional response to another person in a social situation. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=$ $77 ; 90 \% \mathrm{CI}=69-79)$, and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=76 ; 90 \% \mathrm{CI}=69-78$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Ratings on the Atypical Language scale indicate the extent to which the youth is able to utilize spoken communication in a structured and conventional way. Low/average scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=52$; $90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=46-58$ ), and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=44 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=39-51$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Ratings on the Stereotypy scale indicate the extent to which the youth engages in apparently purposeless and repetitive behaviors. Low/average scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=49 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=43-56$ ), and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=54 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=46-60$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

Ratings on the Behavioral Rigidity scale indicate the extent to which the youth tolerates changes in his
environment, routines, activities, or behaviors. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=72 ; 90 \% \mathrm{CI}=$ $65-75$ ). Low/average scores were obtained for Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=48 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=44-53$ ). Parent ratings were significantly higher than Teacher ratings.

Ratings on the Sensory Sensitivity scale indicate the youth's level of tolerance for certain experiences sensed through touch, sound, vision, smell, or taste. Low/average scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=44$; $90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=39-51$ ), and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=48 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}=42-55$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.
Ratings on the Attention scale indicate the extent to which the youth is able to appropriately focus his attention on one thing while ignoring other things. Elevated scores were obtained for Parent ( $\mathrm{T}=72 ; 90 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ $=65-75)$, and Teacher ( $\mathrm{T}=73 ; 90 \% \mathrm{CI}=67-76$ ). Scores were not significantly different between raters.

## Item Responses

The raters marked the following responses for items on the ASRS (6-18 Years).
Note: P = Parent and T = Teacher.

## Delay of Communication Items

| Item | Ratings |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| DC1. Did your child acquire language before age 3? | Yes | - |
| DC2. If Yes, did your child speak in 3 word sentences by age 3? | Yes | - |


| Item | Ratings |  | Item | Ratings |  | Item | Ratings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P | T |  | P | T |  | P | T |
| 1. | 3 | 4 | 25. | 1 | 0 | 49. | 4 | 1 |
| 2. | 0 | 0 | 26. | 0 | 0 | 50. | 2 | 1 |
| 3. | 3 | 0 | 27. | 0 | 0 | 51. | 4 | 0 |
| 4. | 3 | 3 | 28. | 1 | 2 | 52. | 3 | 3 |
| 5. | 1 | 2 | 29. | 0 | 0 | 53. | 0 | 0 |
| 6. | 2 | 4 | 30. | 3 | 4 | 54. | 2 | 0 |
| 7. | 4 | 4 | 31. | 2 | 2 | 55. | 1 | 1 |
| 8. | 2 | 1 | 32. | 1 | 1 | 56. | 0 | 0 |
| 9. | 1 | 1 | 33. | 4 | 4 | 57. | 3 | 3 |
| 10. | 1 | 1 | 34. | 3 | 3 | 58. | 2 | 0 |
| 11. | 3 | 3 | 35. | 4 | 4 | 59. | 1 | 2 |
| 12. | 3 | 0 | 36. | 4 | 4 | 60. | 4 | 4 |
| 13. | 4 | 1 | 37. | 3 | 2 | 61. | 1 | 1 |
| 14. | 3 | 2 | 38. | 0 | 1 | 62. | 0 | 0 |
| 15. | 2 | 1 | 39. | 1 | 2 | 63. | 4 | 1 |
| 16. | 3 | 2 | 40. | 2 | 0 | 64. | 2 | 2 |
| 17. | 1 | 1 | 41. | 2 | 2 | 65. | 0 | 0 |
| 18. | 0 | 0 | 42. | 1 | 1 | 66. | 2 | 3 |
| 19. | 2 | 3 | 43. | 1 | 1 | 67. | 0 | 0 |
| 20. | 0 | 0 | 44. | 3 | 3 | 68. | 0 | 0 |
| 21. | 1 | 0 | 45. | 0 | 1 | 69. | 2 | 2 |
| 22. | 2 | 0 | 46. | 0 | 0 | 70. | 2 | 3 |
| 23. | 0 | 0 | 47. | 1 | 1 | 71. | 4 | 4 |
| 24. | 4 | 0 | 48. | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |

Response Key: 0 = Never; 1 = Rarely; 2 = Occasionally; 3 = Frequently; 4 = Very Frequently; ? = Omitted Item.


[^0]:    Scores were not significantly different between raters.

