


.TARGETED[®] PREDICTION

Project Report

Project name:	Managers 2018
Project type:	Targeted Prediction
Targeted Prediction Index:	Leadership Index
Assessments:	Matrigma Classic, MAP Essence
Report date:	2018-05-14



Targeted Prediction —Project Report

This report contains information about your Targeted Prediction project.


In the report, you find information about the project itself, the assessment process, and your personal notes. This is followed by a short introduction to Targeted Prediction© in general and to the specific target chosen for the current project specifically. Further, the report contains a compilation of demographic information at the group level for all candidates included in the current project. The report is concluded by presentation of individual results for all candidates, or the number of candidates you have selected for this report, along with guidelines for interpretation.

The aim of the report is to provide an overview of your Targeted Prediction© project with the purpose of simplifying decision-making in personnel selection processes. The project report is intended for the project administrator but may be suitable for all stakeholders involved in the personnel selection decision process.

Project information

Project name:	Managers 2018
Project type:	Targeted Prediction
Targeted Prediction Index:	Leadership Index
Administrator(s):	Hunter, Johan
Project creation date:	2016-11-18
Project end date:	-
Report date:	2018-05-14

Assessment process



Unified assessment process: No

Skip candidate demographics: No

Automatic candidate feedback: Yes

Project description

No description exists for this project.

Targeted Prediction

Targeted Prediction© is a process for combining assessment data, represented by measures of general mental ability (GMA) and the five factor model of personality, in a standardized and scientifically based manner for the purpose of predicting performance in different roles.

The profile for the role, called a target, is manifested in an algorithm. The algorithm uses assessment scores as input and combine them in a standardized and optimal process. Altogether, a Targeted Prediction© score, regardless of applied target, will provide an evidencebased and empirically anchored prediction of probable level of performance. Targeted Prediction© optimizes the use of the assessment information for prediction, applies a compensatory approach, and maximizes fairness and equal treatment between candidates. This implies that the outcome of a Targeted Prediction© process represents the most valid prediction possible given these assessment scores, and that any other strategy for combining them to predict performance only may lower the accurateness of the prediction.

Leadership Index

The Targeted Prediction© Leadership Index predicts to what extent a candidate is likely to perform in the role as a leader, in particular, the probability that the candidate will:

- be perceived as a leader by his or her co-workers.
- be effective in terms of providing for his or her co-workers to engage in activities or behaviors related to and/or contributing to the organization's goals.

Remember this when reading the results

The results from a Targeted Prediction© process is labeled index and is represented by a C-score ranging from 0 to 10. The score is to be interpreted as the higher the score the higher probable level of performance in the target role.

This means that higher scoring (thus ranked) candidates have a higher probability of performing in the actual role, based on personality and GMA. For more detailed information regarding specific targets and the relationship between the target and assessment scores, please see the Targeted Prediction© Technical Manual (Sjöberg & Sjöberg, 2017).

It is important to note that:

- This does not imply that a lower scoring (ranked) candidate cannot contribute with higher performance, merely that the probability is lower.
- Targeted Prediction Index scores do not take other data into account, other relevant data (e.g., hard skills) may exist and may have an effect on the overall probability of performance.

Descriptive information


Candidates

Total number of candidates:	2145
Invited:	1202
Completed:	1906
Overall average score:	5.96
Top 10 average score:	8.96

Demographics

Age:	Mean 49.03 (SD: 10.87)
Gender:	67.97% Female
	31.88% Male
	0.15% Other/Prefer not to say
Educational level:	0.11% Elementary school
	4.5% Middle/junior high or high school
	10.76% Less than 3 years of post-secondary education (college, university)
	63.67% 3 or more years of post-secondary education (college, university)
	16.68% PhD
	4.28% Other/Prefer not to say

Group Job Performance Index distribution



Candidate ranking

Showing the top 20 ranked candidates for your project.

Candidate name	Leadership Index
Onym181950, Ann181950	9.49
Onym238560, Ann238560	9.48
Onym45153, Ann45153	9.06
Onym368001, Ann368001	8.96
Onym43576, Ann43576	8.92
Onym45043, Ann45043	8.87
Onym34562, Ann34562	8.75
Onym32887, Ann32887	8.68
Onym42240, Ann42240	8.68
Onym321534, Ann321534	8.67
Onym127124, Ann127124	8.64
Onym77971, Ann77971	8.62
Onym121170, Ann121170	8.62
Onym333094, Ann333094	8.59
Onym123216, Ann123216	8.58
Onym247884, Ann247884	8.57
Onym326855, Ann326855	8.55
Onym327314, Ann327314	8.52
Onym325358, Ann325358	8.50
Onym45132, Ann45132	8.49

Candidates not shown: 2125